

REMARKS

Claims 10-13 are now pending in the application. Claim 10 has been amended to recite “ $TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8$ (1)” in place of “ $TgA - 10 < TgB < TgA + 10$ (1)”. Basis for the amendment to claim 10 can be found on page 17, lines 18-26 of the specification. The amendment to claim 10 does not introduce any new matter.

Claims 10-13 were rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being obvious over US Patent Publication No. US-2003-0139523-A1 to Nakamura et al.(hereinafter also referred to as “Nakamura”) in view of US Patent 4,748,168 to Kawakami et al. (hereinafter also referred to as “Kawakami”).

The cited references do not render obvious claims 10-13.

As is clear from the disclosure of the present application and as recited in claims 10-13, important to the present invention is the use, as the tire tread rubber composition, of (i) the specified aromatic vinyl-conjugated diene copolymer rubber (A) having a Tg (i.e., TgA) of -40° to -5°C and the specified conjugated diene-based rubber gel (B), wherein the Tg of (B) (i.e., TgB) satisfy the relationship (1)

$$\underline{TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8} \quad (1).$$

As a result, the wet performance, the abrasion resistance and the low heat buildup property can be improved, as evidenced by the Examples and Comparative Examples in the present specification and the enclosed Rule 132 declaration. This is neither disclosed nor taught in the cited references, as will be discussed below.

US Patent Publication No. US-2003-0139523-A1 to Nakamura et al. suggests a conjugated diene rubber, a rubber composition containing the same and a process for producing the conjugated diene rubber.

However, as appreciated by the Examiner, Nakamura neither discloses nor teaches (a) the use of the aromatic vinyl-conjugated diene copolymer rubber (A) having a TgA -40°C to -5°C and (b) the use of the conjugated diene-based rubber gel (B) having a TgB satisfying the following formula (1):

$$TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8 \quad (1)$$

as the tire tread rubber composition according to the present invention.

As shown in the results on Tables III-2 and III-3, when only SBR-2 having a TgA of -51°C (i.e., outside of the -40°C to -5 °C) is used as the component (A) (see Comp. Examples III-1 and III-4), the desired results cannot be obtained. In addition, when the rubber gel (B) is not used (see Comp. Example III-2) or when TgB is not within the range of TgA +/- 8°C, as shown in Comp. Examples III-3 and III-4, the desired results are not obtained. This is completely absent in Nakamura et al.

Kawakami discloses the use of a first group of styrene-butadiene copolymers with a Tg of -20°C to -45°C (i.e., styrene-rich SBR) and a second group of styrene-butadiene copolymers with a Tg of lower than -45°C (i.e., styrene-poor SBR) (see column 2, lines 21-29).

However, Kawakami neither discloses nor teaches the use of the SBR having a Tg of -40°C to -5 °C, in combination with the conjugated diene-based rubber gel (B) having the above-mentioned specified TgB (i.e., TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8).

As shown in the enclosed Rule 132 Declaration by Naoya Amino, even when a SBR having the specified TgA is used, if the SBR gel has a TgB, which does not satisfy the specified TgA and TgB relationship: (TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8) defined in the present invention, the desired results cannot be obtained. Thus, the tire tread composition and the results obtained by the present invention are by no means expected from Nakamura and Kawakami by those skilled in the art.

In response to our Response filed April 23, 2009, the Office Action argues that Kawakami is used to show that, when two rubber components are used in a composition, whether it be a rubber/rubber blend or a rubber/rubber gel blend, it is advantageous to have the glass transition temperature of each component be similar in order to ensure compatibility between the two components.

It is known in the art, as shown in, for example, para. [0007] of JP2001-139729A, which corresponds to col. 2, lines 5 - 28 of US Patent 6,403,720 B1, copies of which were previously filed, that, when the gelled rubber having a toluene swelling index of 16 – 150 is blended, as the high Tg polymer, with a low Tg polymer of a diene rubber, the incompatibility of the two polymers is promoted, the tan δ around 0°C is improved and the abrasion resistance is not too greatly impaired or, in some cases, is even improved.

Contrary to the above, as mentioned herein above, according to the present invention, the gelled diene rubber (B) having the relationship of

$$\underline{TgA - 8 < TgB < TgA + 8}$$

(i.e., TgA and TgB are not so much different is used) tan δ (0°C) can be improved, even when compared with the cases where TgA and TgB are very much different, as in the case of JP'729 or US'720. It is important to keep in mind that the diene copolymer rubber (A) and the diene-based rubber gel (B) are incompatible even in the present invention. Thus, the present invention provides the excellent effects or results when compared with JP'729 or US'720.

As shown in JP '729 or US '720, when the rubber gel having the difference in the glass transition temperatures of at least 10°C (see claim 1 of US '720) is used, tan δ (0°C) can be improved. The present invention as defined in claim 10 as amended, the difference is less than 8°C.

This is completely different from the present invention. Kawakami does not teach this difference between the rubber/rubber blend and the rubber/rubber gel blend.

As is clearly shown in the enclosed new Rule 132 Declaration, even when the SBR having a TgA of -40°C to -5°C is used, if the TgB of SBR gel does not satisfy the specified TgA and TgB defined in the present invention, the desired results cannot be obtained. Thus, the results obtained by the present invention are by no means expected from Nakamura and Kawakami by those skilled in the art.

The cited art lacks the necessary direction or incentive to those of ordinary skill in the art to render the rejections under 35 USC 103 sustainable. The cited art fails to provide the degree of predictability of success of achieving the properties attainable by the present invention needed to sustain a rejection under 35 USC 103. See *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*, 127 S.Ct. 1727; 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007), *Diversitech Corp. v. Century Steps, Inc.* 7 USPQ2d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 1988), *In re Mercier*, 185 USPQ 774 (CCPA 1975) and *In re Naylor*, 152 USPQ 106 (CCPA 1966).

Moreover, the properties of the subject matter and improvements which are inherent in the claimed subject matter and disclosed in the specification are to be considered when evaluating the question of obviousness under 35 USC 103. See *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.*, 127 S.Ct. 1727; 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007), *Gillette Co. v. S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc.*, 16 USPQ2d. 1923 (Fed. Cir. 1990), *In re Antonie*, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977), *In re Estes*, 164 USPQ 519 (CCPA 1970), and *In re Papesch*, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963). Please refer to the previously filed Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Naoya Amino, and Tables III-2 and III-3, discussed herein above.

No property can be ignored in determining patentability and comparing the claimed invention to the cited art. Along these lines, see *In re Papesch*, supra, *In re Burt et al*, 148 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1966), *In re Ward*, 141 USPQ 227 (CCPA 1964), and *In re Cescon*, 177 USPQ 264 (CCPA 1973).

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve in any way to advance the prosecution of this application, the undersigned is available at the telephone number noted below.

Application No.: 10/590,800

Docket No.: 21713-00035-US1

The Office is authorized to charge any necessary fees to Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 21713-00035-US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: September 30, 2009
BAA/prb

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Burton A. Amernick/
Burton A. Amernick
Registration No.: 24,852
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 Eye Street, NW,
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 331-7111
(202) 293-6229 (Fax)
Attorney for Assignee